



CENAC Minutes

Date: June 22, 2016 at 6:30 p.m.

Location: GTAA Administration Building, 3111 Convair Drive, Pearson Rooms A & B

Chair: Robyn Connelly, Director, Community Relations

Committee Member Attendees: Brad Green, Brampton Resident
Johan Van T'Hof, Toronto Resident
Pat Fortini, Brampton Councillor
David Bishop, Mississauga Resident
Brian Maltby, Brampton Resident
Tina Rizzuto-Willan, Mississauga Resident
Stephen Holyday, Toronto Councillor
Jeff Knoll, Halton Region Councillor

Absent : Chris Fonseca, Mississauga Councillor
John Davidson, Halton Region Representative (alternate)
Vincent Crisanti, Toronto Councillor
John Connolly, Durham Region Representative
Craig Van Spall, Mississauga Resident
Wayne Emmerson, York Region Chair
Armando Sanchez, Toronto Resident

Technical Members Attendees: Sam Ghobrial, NAV CANADA
Leslie Calhoun, NAV CANADA
Brad Waddell, National Airline Council of Canada
Adam Biffin, Transport Canada
Colin Novak, Akoustik Engineering Limited

GTAA Staff: K. Bochan
B. Maxwell
C. Woods
H. Shaikh
D. Gray
L. Barrett
C. Bradbrook

Secretariat: I. Pringle

Public: R. Slatter, Oakville
S. Best, Toronto
R. Boehnke, Etobicoke
I. Swanson, Mississauga
E. Swanson, Mississauga
D. Inch, Oakville
G. Pollard, Mississauga
J. Berlettano, Mississauga
C. Cizmar, Georgetown
G. Thackray, Toronto
K. Hanford, Toronto

Attachments: CENAC Information Update

Next meeting: Wednesday, September 21, 2016 at 6:30 pm.

Item	Details
1.0	PRELIMINARY ITEMS
1.1	Welcome and Roll Call conducted by K. Bochan.
1.2	Review and approval of Agenda: S. Holiday moved and D. Bishop seconded.
1.3	Review, approval of April 20, 2016 minutes. J. Knoll moved and B. Maltby seconded.
1.4	Matters Arising from previous meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none">• New Webtrak form has been launched.• Resident inquired about having temporary noise monitoring conducted in his neighbourhood. Working with resident to move this forward.• Resident requested information on how to appeal to Transport Canada regarding night flights. A. Biffin of Transport Canada has been in contact with the resident.• Resident questioned the methodology on the Air Quality Study and the criteria that was used in the study. Working to understand the criteria that impact the results from the Air Quality Study. This is ongoing.
2.0	REGULAR ITEMS
2.1	<i>Committee Information Update</i>
	Environmental Update <ul style="list-style-type: none">• D. Gray reported that Toronto Pearson's deicing sprays were lower than previous year due to a milder winter. No exceedances on our glycol program. Earth day was recently celebrated by the annual Etobicoke Creek cleanup. During Environment Week, a number of outreach items were participated in by Toronto Pearson staff.
	Community Relations update <ul style="list-style-type: none">• C. Woods advised the Community Relations Update will be covered under the Noise Mitigation Initiatives Technical Briefing presentation.
	CENAC Stats <ul style="list-style-type: none">• CENAC stats were made available at the meeting. The full presentation can be found here. C. Woods noted that there was an increase in the complaints; however the number of callers was consistent.
3.0	DISCUSSION ITEMS
3.1	<i>Update: Noise Management Action Plan</i>
	Noise Monitoring Terminal Review <ul style="list-style-type: none">• C. Novak and C. Woods presented the Noise Monitoring Terminal Review. The full presentation can be found here.<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Based on the criteria received from the review, the Noise Monitoring Terminal Working Group recommended the installation of eight new Noise Monitoring Terminals (NMTs).○ Next step will be the site selection for permanent NMTs. Criteria needs to be considered, i.e. quiet background levels, hydro, security etc. Lease agreements are required with each municipality with four to six weeks to receive equipment. Installation of equipment will follow.

Best Practices

- Due to IT technical issues, the Best Practices presentation was deferred to the next CENAC meeting. The full presentation has been posted on the website and can be found [here](#).

Noise Mitigation Initiatives – Technical Briefings

Feedback and Next Steps

- Due to IT technical issues, the Noise Mitigation Initiatives presentation was deferred to the next CENAC meeting. The full presentation has been posted on the website and can be found [here](#).
-

4.0 PUBLIC COMMENTS

- In regards to the NMT Review, G. Thackray, Toronto resident, inquired whether the noise events were correlated to a specific aircraft.
 - C. Novak replied yes, each event was recorded and then confirmed that it was an aircraft.
 - G. Thackray inquired as to how many days or hours were recorded at each location.
 - C. Novak replied that minimum number was three continuous days depending on each site. For some sites the monitoring had to be extended due to weather conditions and runway usage.
 - G. Thackray asked what was the minimum number of events considered to be a good statistical base for the study.
 - C. Novak replied that information depended on the site. The table in the presentation shows the number of events that were recorded for each site.
 - D. Caltsoudas, North Etobicoke resident, inquired as to why locations closer to the airport weren't chosen as part of the review. He added that the NMT on Martingrove is too far north to record departures.
 - C. Novak replied that the NMT closest to his area captures both arrivals and departures.
 - C. Woods stated that the Noise Office will prepare a noise data report for this NMT (Westhumber Blvd. and Martin Grove Rd.) broken down by runway operations.
 - D. Inch, Oakville resident, asked how the information from the Noise Monitoring Terminal Review can be used to help NAV CANADA reduce the amount of noise on communities.
 - C. Novak responded that the purpose of the report was to determine locations for new Noise Monitoring Terminals, though the data collected could be used in the future the analysis of the noise mitigation ideas
 - D. Inch inquired as to the timeline of the installation of the NMT.
 - R. Connelly stated an update on the timing of the installation of the NMTs would be provided by the end of the year.
 - S. Best, High Park resident, raised a concern that the number of complainants from the noise statistics factored into the NMT review ranking and that many people have stopped complaining.
 - R. Connelly stated that number of complainants had a modest weighting in the decision matrix, but also underscores the point that complaints do influence important decisions and helps understand operational impacts.
 - C. Cizmar, Georgetown resident, noted that not all of his complaints are reflected in the statistics and feels the data is not recorded accurately.
 - The Noise Office will follow up with Mr. Cizmar with an audit of his noise
-

complaints for the period.

- C. Cizmar also proposed shutting down the airport to aviation movements (arrivals, departures, overhead through flights) for the GTAA that need to be shut down from 11:00 pm to 7:30 am (Night flight ban). Ground and terminal operations may continue on the tarmac. Planes remain grounded during Night Flight Ban.
 - He proposed that the simple solution would be to move the flights away from Georgetown, keeping flights 10 kilometers out. There are to be no flights over residential Georgetown, or within 10 kilometers of residential Georgetown no matter the altitude. Exceptions would be rare search and rescue, and Medical evacuation purposes. Use Lake Ontario, and non-residential areas.
 - In addition, he would like to thank Transport Canada for taking a more active role and insisting that the community voice is heard.
 - J. Berlettano, Mississauga resident, stated that he represents a number of individuals in his community who are concerned about noise but are no longer calling. He would like to see a concerted effort on the part of this group and the GTAA to develop a master plan that will resolve the noise issue and the health issues in the community. He is also concerned about the growth of Toronto Pearson by 2035.
 - G. Pollard stated he does not understand what the number of callers has to do with the number of complaints..
 - G. Pollard asked what changes have been implemented as of noise complaints.
 - R. Connelly stated that the NMT review is a direct result of noise complaints. S. Best, complimented the work of the noise office but is concerned that residents are no longer complaining or coming to the meetings.
 - R. Connelly stated that change does not happen quickly and added that we are making progress on our noise management action plan.
-

5.0 **ADJOURNMENT** - Meeting is adjourned.

- R. Connelly asked for adjournment, B. Green moved, D. Bishop seconded.
 - The next CENAC meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, September 21, 2016. For additional information, please contact Ingrid Pringle at (416) 776-3035.
-